Marine Ports | United Arab Emirates | DIFX:DPW



Rating Overview

The company is at low risk of experiencing material financial impacts from ESG factors, due to its low exposure and average management of material ESG issues. Although the company has a moderate level of controversies, its favourable risk assessment is primarily due to its low exposure to ESG issues.

Relative Performance

ESG Risk Rating Distribution



	Rank (1 st = lowest risk)	Percentile (1 st = lowest risk)
Global Universe	711 out of 12424	7th
Transportation Infrastructure (Industry Group)	21 out of 118	18th
Marine Ports (Subindustry)	1 out of 41	1st

Attribution Analysis



Risk Analysis



DP World employees handle lifting machinery, shipping containers or other heavy cargo, being exposed to various health and safety hazards. Too frequent accidents, fatalities or prolonged illnesses may lead to lawsuits, inability of hiring qualified workers and delays in operations. In addition, due to high competitiveness in the port industry, companies may be inclined to collude with authorities to evade taxes or resort to bribery to speed up clearance of cargo. DP World may incur reputational damage if found implicated in corrupt practices. Moreover, the company's operations require a workforce with various sets of special skills, including logistics experts, engineers and controllers. Failure to recruit and retain such workforce or possible labour disputes could lead to significant disruptions in port traffic or even paralysis of operations at important hubs.

The company's overall exposure is low and is similar to subindustry average. Bribery and Corruption, Occupational Health and Safety and Human Capital are notable material ESG issues.



DP World provided a reasonable amount of ESG disclosure in its Annual Report for 2018, but without following GRI guidelines, which is behind best practice. At the same time, the company's ESG-related strategy, policies, programmes and performance are overseen by the Board, with assistance from the Sustainability Advisory Committee. The high level of oversight suggests ESG-related issues are integrated in core business strategy. In 2019, DP World has experienced labour tensions at its Australian terminals over pay and insurance protection topics and has faced regulatory scrutiny over alleged underpayment of foreign employees working onboard of P&O ferries. The company's overall management of material ESG issues is average.



Global Universe

Marine Ports (Subindustry)

DP World PLC
+ SubIndustryAvg.

Marine Ports | United Arab Emirates | DIFX:DPW

14.8 /100 Low

11.07				
Negl	Low	Med	High	Severe
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40-100

Attribution Details

	Contribution to							
	ESG Risk	Subindustry	Company	Excess	Manageable	Management	ESG Risk	Risk
Issue Name	Rating	Exposure	Exposure	Exposure	Risk Factor	Score	Rating	Category
Corporate Governance	29.4%	9.0	9.0	-	100%	51.5	4.4	
Occupational Health and Safety	16.0%	5.0	5.0	-	95%	55.3	2.4	
Human Capital	14.1%	3.0	3.3	0.3	95%	38.5	2.1	
Emissions, Effluents and Waste	13.5%	3.0	3.0	-	90%	37.1	2.0	
Community Relations	10.9%	2.0	1.9	-0.1	90%	16.3	1.6	
Land Use and Biodiversity	8.4%	2.0	1.9	-0.1	100%	34.5	1.2	
Bribery and Corruption	7.7%	3.0	3.2	0.2	95%	67.1	1.1	
Overall	100.0%	27.0	27.3	0.2	-	47.4	14.8	Low
∧ =Significant event								

Risk Details

Exposure



Marine Ports | United Arab Emirates | DIFX:DPW

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Beta (Beta, β)

A factor that assesses the degree to which a company's exposure deviates from its **subindustry**'s exposure on a **material ESG issue**. It is used to derive a company-specific issue exposure score for a material ESG issue. It ranges from 0 to 10, with 0 indicating no exposure, 1 indicating the subindustry average, and 10 indicating exposure that is ten times the subindustry average.

Corporate Governance Pillar

A pillar provides a signal about a company's management of a specific Corporate Governance issue.

ESG Risk Category

Companies' ESG Risk Rating scores are assigned to five ESG risk categories in the ESG Risk Rating:

Negligible risk: enterprise value is considered to have a negligible risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Low risk: enterprise value is considered to have a low risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Medium risk: enterprise value is considered to have a medium risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

High risk: enterprise value is considered to have a high risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Severe risk: enterprise value is considered to have a severe risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Note that because ESG risks materialize at an unknown time in the future and depend on a variety of unpredictable conditions, no predictions on financial or share price impacts, or on the time horizon of such impacts, are intended or implied by these risk categories.

ESG Risk Rating Score (Unmanaged Risk Score)

The company's final score in the ESG Risk Rating; it applies the concept of risk decomposition to derive the level of unmanaged risk for a company.

Event Category

Sustainalytics categorizes events that have resulted in negative ESG impacts into five event categories: Category 1 (low impact); Category 2 (moderate impact); Category 3 (significant impact); Category 4 (high impact); and Category 5 (severe impact).

Event Indicator

An indicator that provides a signal about a potential failure of management through involvement in controversies.

Excess Exposure

The difference between the company's exposure and its subindustry exposure.

Exposure

A company or subindustry's sensitivity or vulnerability to ESG risks.

Idiosyncratic Issue

An issue that was not deemed material at the **subindustry** level during the **consultation process** but becomes a **material ESG issue** for a company based on the occurrence of a Category 4 or 5 event.

Manageable Risk

Material ESG risk that can be influenced and managed through suitable policies, programmes and initiatives.

Managed Risk

Material ESG Risk that has been managed by a company through suitable policies, programmes and initiatives.

Management

A company's handling of ESG risks.

Management Gap

Refers to the difference between what a company has managed and what a company could possibly manage. It indicates how far the company's performance is from best practice.

Management Indicator

An indicator that provides a signal about a company's management of an ESG issue through policies, programmes or quantitative performance.

Material ESG Issue

A core building block of the ESG Risk Rating. An ESG issue is considered to be material within the rating if it is likely to have a significant effect on the enterprise value of a typical company within a given subindustry.

Subindustry

Subindustries are defined as part of Sustainalytics' own classification system.

Unmanageable Risk

Material ESG Risk inherent from the intrinsic nature of the products or services of a company and/or the nature of a company's business, which cannot be managed by the company if the company continues to offer the same type of products or services and remains in the same line of business.

Unmanaged Risk

Material ESG risk that has not been managed by a company, and includes two types of risk: **unmanageable risk**, as well as risks that could be managed by a company through suitable initiatives, but which may not yet be managed (management gap).



Marine Ports | United Arab Emirates | DIFX:DPW

DISCLAIMER

Copyright © 2019 Sustainalytics. All rights reserved.

The ownership and all intellectual property rights to this publication/report and the information contained herein are vested exclusively in Sustainalytics and/or its suppliers. Unless otherwise expressly agreed in writing between you and Sustainalytics, you will not be permitted to use this information otherwise than for internal use, nor will you be permitted to reproduce, disseminate, comingle, create derivative works, furnish in any manner, make available to third parties or publish this publication/report, parts hereof or the information contained herein in any form or in any manner, be it electronically, mechanically, through photocopies, recordings. The information on which this publication/report is based on reflects the situation as on the date of its elaboration. Such information has – fully or partially – been derived from third parties and is therefore subject to continuous modification. THE INFORMATION HEREIN IS PROVIDED SOLELY FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES AND THEREFORE ARE NOT AN OFFER TO BUY OR SELL A SECURITY. NEITHER SUSTAINALYTICS NOR ALL ITS THIRD-PARTY SUPPLIERS PROVIDE INVESTMENT ADVICE (AS DEFINED IN THE APPLICABLE JURISDICTION) OR ANY OTHER FORM OF (FINANCIAL) ADVICE AND NOTHING WITHIN THIS PUBLICATION/REPORT CONSTITUTES SUCH ADVICE. SUSTAINALYTICS OBSERVES THE GREATEST POSSIBLE CARE IN USING INFORMATION, HOWEVER THE INFORMATION IS PROVIDED "AS IS" AND NEITHER SUSTAINALYTICS NOR ITS SUPPLIERS ACCEPT ANY LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE ARISING FROM THE USE OF THIS PUBLICATION/REPORT OR INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IN ANY MANNER WHATSOEVER. MOREOVER, SUSTAINALYTICS AND ALL ITS THIRD-PARTY SUPPLIERS DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL WARRANTIES AND REPRESENTATIONS, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTIBILITY, COMPLETENESS, ACCURACY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

This publication/report may contain proprietary information from third parties (Third Party Data) and here you can find additional terms and conditions imposed by the following Third Party Data providers regarding the use of their data:

www.sustainalytics.com/legal-disclaimers

